	1
Electronically Received 09/26/2023 04:29 PM	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

11/14/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: L. M'Greené Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SHEILA BAKER, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JOSEPH E. MCADAMS, LLOYD MCADAMS, JOE E. DAVIS, ROBERT C. DAVIS, MARK S. MARON, and DOMINIQUE MIELLE,

Defendants.

Lead Case No. 21STCV07569

Consolidated with cases 21STCV07571 and 21STCV08413

Assigned to the Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl, Dept. 12

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

Action Filed: February 24, 2021

This matter having come before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (the "Court") for hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") on a motion for final approval of the terms of the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, Compromise and Release dated June 15, 2023 (the "Stipulation")³; and due and adequate notice of the Settlement Hearing R }^AREDECH having been given to the Class as ordered in the Court's __, 2023 Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Providing for Notice (the "Order"); and the Court having considered the papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause appearing therefore, it is now ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all of the parties to the Action, including all members of the Class.
- 2. This Order and Final Judgment (the "Judgment") incorporates and makes part hereof to the Stipulation and (i) the Court-approved Long-Form Notice and (ii) Publication Notice (collectively, the "Notice"), which were filed with the Court as Exhibits B and C to the Stipulation.
- 3. The Notice given to the Class was the best practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort along with the Publication Notice. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of the Action and of the matters set forth in the Stipulation, including the Settlement, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of state law and due process, and any other applicable law, statute or rule. A full opportunity to be heard has been afforded to all Parties and the Class.
- 4. Pursuant to §382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and consistent with the preliminary certification granted in the Order, the Court hereby finally certifies a Class, for purposes of settlement only, of all record and beneficial holders and owners of Anworth common stock, from December 6, 2020 through and including March 19, 2021 (the date of the consummation of the Merger), including any and all of their respective successors-in-interest, successors, predecessors-in-interest, predecessors, representatives, trustees, executors, administrators, estates, heirs, assigns and transferees, immediate and remote, and any person or entity acting for or on behalf of, or

Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, all capitalized terms shall have the same meanings and/or definitions as set forth in the Stipulation.

claiming under, any of them, and each of them, together with their predecessors-in-interest, predecessors, successors-in-interest, successors, and assigns, but excluding: (i) Defendants, their Immediate Family, and any trust or other entity affiliated with or controlled by any Defendant, other than employees of such entities who were not directors or officers of such entities as of the Closing; (ii) any and all record and beneficial owners and holders of Anworth common stock who timely and validly opt out of the Class and Settlement pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Court's Order.

- 5. The Court hereby finds that the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation should be approved in that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class. Accordingly, the Stipulation and the terms of the Settlement, as described in the Stipulation, are hereby approved in their entirety, pursuant to the requirements of §382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court. The Parties are hereby directed to effectuate the Settlement according to the terms of the Stipulation. The Parties and all Class Members are hereby bound by this Judgment and by the terms of the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 6. The Parties are to bear their own costs and fees, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 7. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs, each and every Class Member, and all other Released Plaintiff Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released, relinquished, any and all Released Plaintiffs' Claims, which, as defined in the Stipulation, means any and all Claims, including Unknown Claims (as defined in the Stipulation) that were asserted or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs in the Action on behalf of themselves and/or the Class, and that are based on, arise out of, relate in any way, or involve the same set of operative facts as the claims asserted by Plaintiffs against the Released Defendant Parties in the Actions and which relate to the sale of Anworth; provided, however, that the term Released Plaintiffs' Claims shall not include claims to enforce the Stipulation or any part of it, and shall not include claims based on the conduct of any of the Settling Parties that occurs after the Effective Date.
 - 8. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff's each and every Class Member, and all other 2

Released Plaintiff Parties shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever, released, settled, and discharged the Released Defendant Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties.

- 9. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Defendant Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Released Plaintiff Parties from the Released Defendants' Claims.
- 10. Neither this Judgment, the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, a presumption, concession, or admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Plaintiffs' Claim or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Defendant Parties; or (b) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, a presumption, concession, or admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Released Defendant Parties in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal; or (c) is or may be deemed to be an admission or evidence that any claims asserted by Plaintiff or his counsel were not valid in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding. The Released Defendant Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 11. The Action is hereby concluded, provided however, and without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains jurisdiction over: (a) interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Stipulation; and (b) all parties hereto for the purpose of enforcement and administration of the Settlement. This Judgment shall not discharge or release any claim to enforce, or any claim arising out of or relating to, any breach of the Stipulation.
- 12. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, then this Judgment shall be rendered

null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

- 14. Plaintiffs Sheila Baker, Merle W. Bundick, and Benjamin Gigli are awarded plaintiff incentive awards in the sum of \$\frac{\text{FECCEC}}{\text{COURT}}\$ each in connection with the Action, which sum the Court finds to be fair and reasonable to compensate them for their lost business and/or wages, time and out-of-pocket expenses, in connection with the prosecution of the Action on behalf of the Class. Such sum shall not preclude Plaintiffs from seeking payment of their *pro rata* shares of the Settlement Fund pursuant to the procedures and plan for allocating the Settlement Fund, and shall be paid solely from the Fee and Expense Award pursuant to the provisions of the Stipulation.
- 15. Any plan of distribution submitted by Class Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.
- 16. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the Parties and their respective counsel at all times acted professionally and in compliance with California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7, and all other similar statutes or court rules with respect to any claims or defenses in the Action.

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA } 3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, with my business address 5 as 600 Corporate Pointe, Suite 1170, Culver City, California. I am over the age of 18 years, and I 6 am not a party to this Action. 7 On September 26, 2023, I served the foregoing PROPOSED ORDER on interested parties in 8 this action by sending a true copy thereof to the email addresses below: 9 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP Daniel J. Tyukody 10 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 11 Tel: (310) 586-7723 Email: tyukodyd@gtlaw.com 12 horowitzr@gtlaw.com linhardta@gtlaw.com 13 phieferd@gtlaw.com 14 Counsel for Defendants 15 I sent a copy of this document via electronic mail to the email addresses above via Caseanywhere pursuant to the agreement of all parties for service of documents in this case. 16 17 I declare, under penalty of perjury, pursuant to the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. 18 September 26, 2023 19 David E Bower 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28